

ON NEW FORMS OF THE ERGODIC THEOREM

V. V. KOZLOV and D. V. TRESCHEV

ABSTRACT. We present generalizations of the classical Birkhoff and von Neumann ergodic theorems, where the time average is replaced by a more general average, including some density.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that the differential equation

$$\dot{x} = v(x), \quad x \in M \tag{1.1}$$

on the smooth manifold M defines a dynamical system with an invariant measure μ . We will assume that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to a measure, generated on M by some Riemann metric and $\mu(M) < \infty$. Let g^t be the phase flow of system (1.1).

To any function f from $L_1(M, \mu)$ we put into correspondence its *Birkhoff average*

$$\bar{f}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(g^t(x)) dt.$$

It is well known that $\bar{f} \in L_1(M, \mu)$ and integrals of f and \bar{f} over M coincide.

Let $\omega \mapsto h(\omega)$ be the density of some probability measure on $\mathbb{R} = \{\omega\}$. This is a nonnegative function from $L_1(\mathbb{R}, d\omega)$, where

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) d\omega = 1.$$

Theorem 1. *Let f be a measurable bounded function. Then for almost all x*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) f(g^{\omega t}(x)) d\omega = \bar{f}(x). \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 2. *If $f \in L_1(M, \mu)$ is arbitrary then convergence (1.2) holds only in mean (i.e., with respect to the $L_1(M, \mu)$ -norm):*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_M \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) [f(g^{\omega t}(x)) - \bar{f}(x)] d\omega \right| d\mu = 0.$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A30.

Key words and phrases. Ergodic theorems, summation methods.

The work is partially supported by INTAS grant 00-221.

Theorem 3. *If $f_1, f_2 \in L_2(M, \mu)$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) \int_M f_1(g^{\omega t}(x)) f_2(x) d\mu d\omega = \int_M \bar{f}_1 f_2 d\mu. \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1. *Theorems 1–3 include as particular cases classical theorems of ergodic theory. Indeed, let h be the density of the uniform distribution on the unit interval $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary integrable function. Then, obviously,*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) \varphi(\omega t) dt = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds.$$

Consider the case where the flow of system (1.1) is ergodic. Then equality (1.3) turns into

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) \left[\int_M f_1(g^{\omega t}(x)) f_2(x) d\mu \right] d\omega \rightarrow \mu(M) \int_M f_1 d\mu \int_M f_2 d\mu. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, let h be the density of normal distribution with dispersion σ . Then, for $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \int_M f_1(g^t(x)) f_2(x) d\mu dt \rightarrow \mu(M) \int_M f_1 d\mu \int_M f_2 d\mu. \quad (1.5)$$

This equation implies that when σ increases infinitely, the functions $f_1(g^t(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ become statistically independent in mean: integral of the product equals product of integrals. Particular cases of (1.4) are presented in [1].

Theorems 1–3 differ from the classical ergodic theorems in the following aspect. Time averaging is replaced here by the averaging with respect to the parameter ω with some density. The result of the averaging in the limit does not depend on the density.

Theorems 1–3 are closely related with the *summation method* S_h : we say that

$$f(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}(S_h)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) f(\omega t) d\omega = \bar{f}.$$

Since the integral in the left-hand side equals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t} h\left(\frac{\alpha}{t}\right) f(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

S_h is a particular form of a linear summation method with the kernel $h(\alpha/t)/t$, where t is a parameter. Theory of such methods can be found in [2]. In particular, all such methods are regular: if $f(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}$ in the usual

sense, then $f(t) \rightarrow \bar{f}(S_h)$. If h is the limit of a uniformly converging sequence of piecewise-constant functions, then the method S_h includes the Cesàro method.

Some ergodic theorems, where the Cesàro average is replaced by another linear (weighted) average, are presented in [5]. Weighted average contains as a particular case the average used in (1.2). However, according to [5], other conditions should be imposed on the density h . For example, to apply [5, Theorem 2.1, Sec. 8.2] to our case, h should be monotone.

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1-3

We call a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ piecewise-constant if for some finite system of intervals

$$(\omega_j, \omega_{j+1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad \omega_1 = -\infty, \quad \omega_{N+1} = +\infty$$

we have $\varphi|_{(\omega_j, \omega_{j+1})} = c_j = \text{const}$. Values of φ at the points $\omega_2, \dots, \omega_N$ are arbitrary.

According to Remark 1, Theorems 1-3 hold if $h(\omega)$ is piecewise-constant. Hence for the proof, it is sufficient to approximate h by such functions. More precisely, consider a piecewise-constant function h_ε such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(\omega) - h_\varepsilon(\omega)| d\omega < \varepsilon. \tag{2.1}$$

The function h_ε obviously has a compact support.

1. Theorem 1 follows from the estimate

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) f(g^{\omega t}(x)) d\omega - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_\varepsilon(\omega) f(g^{\omega t}(x)) d\omega \right| < \varepsilon \sup |f|.$$

2. Consider the case of an arbitrary $f \in L_1(M, \mu)$. As we have mentioned above, the integral

$$a(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_\varepsilon(\omega) f(g^{\omega t}(x)) d\omega$$

converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere to

$$a(x, \infty) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_\varepsilon(\omega) d\omega \bar{f}(x).$$

We show that such convergence takes place in mean as well. Indeed, the convergence

$$a(x, t) \rightarrow a(x, \infty) \tag{2.2}$$

almost everywhere implies the convergence in measure ([4]). Therefore, for any $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that for $t > T$ the measure of the set

$$M_{\varepsilon_1} = \{x \in M : |a(x, t) - a(x, \infty)| > \varepsilon_1\}$$

does not exceed ε_2 :

$$\mu(M_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq \varepsilon_2.$$

Suppose that (2.2) does not converge in mean. Then

$$\int_M |a(x, t) - a(x, \infty)| d\mu(x) = \left(\int_{M \setminus M_{\varepsilon_1}} + \int_{M_{\varepsilon_1}} \right) |a(x, t) - a(x, \infty)| d\mu(x)$$

does not converge. Since the first integral in the right-hand side does not exceed $\varepsilon_2\mu(M)$, there is no convergence of

$$\int_{M_{\varepsilon_1}} |a(x, t) - a(x, \infty)| d\mu(x) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore (since $a(x, \infty) \in L_1(M, \mu)$), there is no convergence of

$$B = \int_{M_{\varepsilon_1}} a(x, t) d\mu(x) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M a(x, t) d\mu(x) - \int_M a(x, \infty) d\mu(x) = B + B_1 + B_2, \\ B_1 &= - \int_{M_{\varepsilon_1}} a(x, \infty) d\mu(x), \\ B_2 &= - \int_{M \setminus M_{\varepsilon_1}} (a(x, t) - a(x, \infty)) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction since B_1 and B_2 converge (to zero) as $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 2 is proved.

3. The proof of Theorem 3 is contained in [3]. For completeness, we quote it here.

Let (\cdot, \cdot) be an inner product in $L_2(M, \mu)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ be the corresponding norm. The family of operators $U^s : L_2(M, \mu) \rightarrow L_2(M, \mu), U^s f = f \circ g^s$ is isometric. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega)(U^{\omega t} f_1, f_2) d\omega - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_\varepsilon(\omega)(U^{\omega t} f_1, f_2) d\omega \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h - h_\varepsilon| d\omega \|f_1\| \|f_2\| \leq \varepsilon \|f_1\| \|f_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is sufficient to verify the convergence of the integrals

$$J_k(t) = \int_{\omega_k}^{\omega_{k+1}} h_\varepsilon(\omega)(U^{\omega t} f_1, f_2) d\omega,$$

where (ω_k, ω_{k+1}) is a constancy interval for h_ε .

According to the von Neumann ergodic theorem [6],

$$J_k(t) = \frac{c_k}{t} \int_{\omega_k t}^{\omega_{k+1} t} (U^s f_1, f_2) ds \rightarrow c_k(\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k)(\bar{f}_1, f_2)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to note that

$$\sum_1^N c_k(\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_\varepsilon(\omega) d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(\omega) d\omega + \delta,$$

where $|\delta| \leq \varepsilon$.

REFERENCES

1. V. V. Kozlov, Heat equilibrium by Gibbs and Poincaré. *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk* **382** (2002), No. 5.
2. H. Hardy, Divergent series.
3. V. V. Kozlov, D. V. Treschev, Weak convergence of solutions of the Liouville equation for nonlinear Hamiltonian systems (to appear in *Teor. Mat. Fiz.*)
4. A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin, Elements of the theory of functions and functional analysis. *Nauka, Moscow* (1989) (in Russian).
5. U. Krengel, Ergodic theorems. *Walter de Gruyter*, Berlin–New York (1985).
6. V. V. Nemytsky and V. V. Stepanov, Qualitative theory of differential equations. *Gostehizdat* (1949) (in Russian).

(Received September 15 2002)

Authors' addresses:

V. V. Kozlov
 Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
 Moscow State University
 Leninskie Gory, Moscow
 119899 Russia

D. V. Treschev
 Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
 Moscow State University
 Leninskie Gory, Moscow
 119899 Russia