

Poincaré Rotation Numbers and the Riesz and Voronoi Means

V. V. Kozlov and T. Madsen

Received October 30, 2002

KEY WORDS: *rotation number, Poincaré theorem, Cesaro convergence, Riesz convergence, Voronoi convergence.*

1. Let T be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle $\{x \bmod 2\pi\}$. Clearly,

$$Tx = x + f(x),$$

where f is a continuous 2π -periodic function. Poincaré proved [1] that for all x ,

$$f(T^n x) \rightarrow \lambda \quad (C) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

The symbol C denotes the Cesaro convergence. The number $\mu = \lambda/(2\pi)$ is called the *rotation number* of the homeomorphism T .

Let us supplement this statement with the following two remarks to be used below:

- (1) relation (1) also remains valid as $n \rightarrow -\infty$;
- (2) the convergence in (1) is uniform in x .

The first statement is obvious and the second one readily follows from the proof of the Poincaré theorem (see [1, 2]).

2. Suppose that

$$p_0 > 0, \quad p_n \geq 0, \quad \sum p_n = \infty.$$

The *Riesz means* of a sequence $\{s_n\}_0^\infty$ are the ratios

$$t_n = \frac{p_0 s_0 + \cdots + p_n s_n}{p_0 + \cdots + p_n}.$$

If $p_n = p_0$, then this definition yields the Cesaro means (arithmetic means). The sequence p_n specifies the *Riesz summation method*: if $t_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (by the definition) $s_n \rightarrow s$ (R, p_n) . This method is regular: if $s_n \rightarrow s$, then $t_n \rightarrow s$. Let $p_{n+1} \geq p_n$. Then the Cesaro method includes the Riesz method: the convergence $s_n \rightarrow s$ (R, p_n) implies the convergence $s_n \rightarrow s$ (C) . If p_n grows exponentially with n , then the Riesz method loses its significance and becomes equivalent to ordinary convergence.

In addition to Riesz convergence, we will use *Voronoi convergence*. Again, suppose that $q_0 > 0$ and $q_n \geq 0$. Set

$$u_n = \frac{q_0 s_0 + \cdots + q_n s_n}{q_0 + \cdots + q_n}.$$

If $u_n \rightarrow s$, then (by the definition) $s_n \rightarrow s$ (W, q_n) .¹ The criterion for the regularity of the W -method is as follows:

$$\frac{q_n}{q_0 + \cdots + q_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

The theory of Riesz and Voronoi summation is developed in detail in [3].

3. The Poincaré theorem can be strengthened by replacing the Cesaro method by the weaker Riesz or Voronoi methods.

Theorem 1. *If $q_{n+1} \leq q_n$ and $\sum q_n = \infty$, then*

$$f(T^n x) \rightarrow \lambda \quad (W, q_n).$$

Theorem 2. *If $p_{n+1} \geq p_n$ and $p_n / (\sum_0^n p_s) \rightarrow 0$, then*

$$f(T^n x) \rightarrow \lambda \quad (R, p_n). \quad (2)$$

These two statements are in fact equivalent. Let us prove, for instance, Theorem 2. By setting $x = T^{-n}z$ (z depends on n), we obtain

$$\frac{p_0 f(x) + \cdots + p_n f(T^n x)}{p_0 + \cdots + p_n} = \frac{p_n f(z) + \cdots + p_0 f(T^{-n}z)}{p_0 + \cdots + p_n}.$$

Now expressions that appear on the right-hand side of this equation are the Voronoi means. The second condition of Theorem 2 is the regularity criterion for the (W, p_n) -method. Since we additionally have $p_{n+1} \geq p_n$, by Hardy's theorem [3, Theorem 23], the (W, p_n) -method includes the Cesaro method. Consequently, by the Poincaré theorem with due account of supplements 1 and 2 from Sec. 1, the Riesz means (2) tend to λ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all x , which completes the proof.

Theorems 1 and 2 do strengthen the Poincaré theorem, because the Riesz and Voronoi methods that they involve are included in the Cesaro method. For instance, the sequence

$$p_n = \exp\left(\frac{n}{\ln^\alpha n}\right), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

satisfies conditions of Theorem 2 and the (R, p_n) -method is considerably weaker than the Cesaro method. Furthermore, for small values of α , this method is practically equivalent to ordinary convergence.

4. In connection with Theorems 1 and 2, an interesting problem arises: to describe all the matrix summation methods S for which $f(T^n x) \rightarrow \lambda(S)$.

For the Riesz method $S = (R, p_n)$, a weaker condition suffices for (2) to be true.

Theorem 3. *If $p_n / (\sum_0^n p_s) \rightarrow 0$ and*

$$p_0(n+1) + |p_1 - p_0|n + |p_2 - p_1|(n-1) + \cdots + |p_n - p_{n-1}| \leq H(p_0 + \cdots + p_n) \quad (3)$$

for a certain $H > 0$, then

$$f(T^n x) \rightarrow \lambda(R, p_n).$$

Proof. Indeed, if the (W, p_n) method is regular and condition (3) holds, then (W, p_n) includes the Cesaro method (this follows from [3, Theorem 19]). After this remark it only remains to apply the idea of the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3, because the condition $p_{k+1} \geq p_k$ implies inequality (3) with the constant $H = 1$.

¹*Editor's note.* We have kept the standard notation W used by the authors and based on a different transliteration (Woronoj) of Voronoi.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the program “Leading Scientific Schools” under grant no. 00-15-96146.

REFERENCES

1. H. Poincaré, “Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles,” in: *Œuvres de H. Poincaré*, vol. 1, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1953.
2. V. I. Arnol'd, *Additional Chapters of the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
3. G. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford, 1949.

(V. V. KOZLOV) M. V. LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail: kozlov@pran.ru

(T. MADSEN) UNIVERSITY OF AALBORG (DENMARK)

E-mail: tatiana@cpc.auc.dk