

# BLOCH HOMOLOGY. CRITICAL POINTS OF FUNCTIONS AND CLOSED 1-FORMS

S. P. NOVIKOV

Suppose given a regular covering space over a closed manifold, with discrete group  $\Gamma$ :

$$(1) \quad \pi: \hat{M} \rightarrow M^n, \quad \gamma: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

**The Generalized Morse Problem (Problem 1).** Find effective lower bounds on the number of critical points of a smooth function  $f$  on  $M^n$ , taking account of the group  $\Gamma$  (see [1], where the question of existence of such bounds is examined, but not effectively).

**Problem 2** (the author [2, 3]). Find lower bounds on the number of critical points of a closed 1-form  $\omega$  on  $M^n$ .

Of particular interest in Problem 2 is the case  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^k$ , where  $k$  is the number of integrals of  $\omega$ , over 1-cycles in  $M^n$ , that are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The problem was solved by the author in [2] and [3] for the case  $k = 1$ , and it was shown by Farber in [4] that the bounds in [2] are sharp. Here we shall examine only those bounds that can theoretically be obtained from the properties of elliptic operators on the manifold, by analogy with [5]. Every representation

$$(2) \quad \rho: \Gamma \rightarrow GL(N, \mathbb{C})$$

determines a complex of forms  $\Lambda_\rho^*$ , where

$$(3) \quad \Omega \in \Lambda_\rho^* \subset \Lambda^*(\hat{M}, \mathbb{C}^N), \quad \gamma^* \Omega = \rho(\gamma) \Omega, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The cohomology of the complex  $(\Lambda_\rho^*, d)$  coincides with the cohomology  $H_\rho^*(M^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$  with local coefficients (see [6], p. 134). We have the obvious

**Proposition 1.** *For any Morse function  $f$  on  $M^n$ ,*

$$(4) \quad m_j(f) \geq \max_\rho [b_j^\rho(M^n)/N],$$

where  $b_j^\rho(M^n)$  is the rank  $H_\rho^j(M^n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ .

We denote by  $R(\Gamma, N)$  the space of all representations (2), given by certain algebraic equations (the relations of the group  $\Gamma$ ) on the elements of the matrices that are images of the generators of  $\Gamma$ . Obviously  $R(\Gamma, N)$  is a complex algebraic subvariety of  $GL(N, \mathbb{C})^s$ . We denote by  $R_U(\Gamma, N)$  the subspace of unitary representations. In the unitary case we have always  $b_j^\rho(M^n) = b_{n-j}^\rho(M^n)$ .

---

*Date:* Received 28/NOV/85.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58E05; Secondary 57R70, 58E35, 58F05, 58F09. UDC 513.835.

Translated by J. A. Zilber.

**Problem.** Determine the cases where the numbers  $[b_j^\rho(M^n)/N]$ ,  $N = \infty$ , are defined and yield inequalities of the type (4). For unitary  $\rho$ , this probably can happen at least if the image of the group ring generates residually finite von Neumann factors  $(\Pi_1, \Pi_\infty)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *There exist at most countably many complex algebraic subvarieties  $W_{\alpha_j} \subset R(\Gamma, N)$ ,  $N < \infty$ , of positive codimension that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (a)  $b_j^\rho(M^n)$  is constant on  $R(\Gamma, N)$  outside all the  $W_{\alpha_j}$ .
- (b)  $b_j^\rho(M^n)$  is constant on each  $W_{\alpha_j}$  outside all the intersections  $W_{\alpha_j} \cap W_{\beta_j}$ ; more generally,  $b_j^\rho(M^n)$  is constant on each intersection  $\bigcap_{q=1}^m W_{\alpha_{qj}}$  outside all the intersections with the remaining  $W_{\alpha_j}$ ,  $\alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ .
- (c) On each new intersection the rank can increase.
- (d) If the ring  $C[\Gamma]$  is noetherian, then the number of subvarieties  $W_{\alpha_j}$  is finite.

Proposition 2 is proved in a straightforward fashion by regarding the complex of chains on the covering space  $\hat{M}$  as a free finite complex of  $C[\Gamma]$ -modules and analyzing the degeneracies that can arise under a representation  $\rho$ .

**Important Example.**  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^k$  and  $N = 1$ . Here we have  $R(\Gamma, C) \subset C^k$ , where

$$(5) \quad \rho(t_q) = \mu_q = \exp(p_q) \neq 0, \quad \rho = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in C^k.$$

The subspace of unitary representations  $R_U \subset R$  is then given by

$$(6) \quad R_U(\mathbb{Z}^k, C) = T^k \subset C^k, \quad |\mu_q| = 1, \quad q = 1, \dots, k.$$

The finite number of singular varieties  $W_{\alpha_j}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, m_j$ , is given by equations with integer coefficients and includes the following set:

$$(7) \quad j = 0, 1, n, n-1, \quad W_{1j} = \{1 = \mu_1\}, \quad W_{2j} = \{1 = \mu_2\}, \dots, \quad W_{kj} = \{1 = \mu_k\}.$$

Thus, we have always  $m_j \geq k$ . From the exterior product

$$(8) \quad \Lambda_\rho^* \wedge \Lambda_{\rho'}^* \subset \Lambda_{\rho \otimes \rho'}^*,$$

and it follows, for  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^k$ ,  $N = 1$ , that

$$(9) \quad \Lambda_\rho^* \wedge \Lambda_{\rho'}^* \subset \Lambda_{\rho \rho'}^*, \quad \bar{\Lambda}_\rho^* = \Lambda_{\bar{\rho}}^*, \\ \rho \rho' = (\mu_1 \mu'_1, \dots, \mu_k \mu'_k), \quad \bar{\rho} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_k).$$

We obtain the inner product

$$(10) \quad \langle \Omega_\rho, \Omega_{\rho'} \rangle = \int_{M^n} \Omega_\rho \wedge * \bar{\Omega}_{\rho'},$$

where  $\rho \bar{\rho}' = (1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $\Omega_\rho \in \Lambda_\rho^*$ , and  $\Omega_{\rho'} \in \Lambda_{\rho'}^*$ . Thus,

$$b_j^\rho(M^n) = b_{n-j}^{\rho'}(M^n), \quad \rho \bar{\rho}' = (1, \dots, 1).$$

**Definition 1.** For  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^k$ , the  $\Lambda_\rho^*$  are called *Bloch complexes*, and their cohomology *Bloch cohomology* (by analogy with the theory of linear operators with periodic coefficients).

Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$  be a set of closed 1-forms in  $M^n$  forming a basis of  $H^1(M^n, \mathbb{Z})$ , i.e., there is a set of basis cycles  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in H_1(M^n, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$(11) \quad \oint_{a_l} \omega_q = \delta_{ql}, \quad k = b_1(M^n).$$

Consider the following operator  $d_\omega$  for forms on  $M^n$ :

$$(12) \quad d_\omega \Omega = d\Omega + \omega \wedge \Omega, \quad d_\omega^2 = 0, \quad \omega = \sum p_q \omega_q + df.$$

The cohomology of the complex  $\Lambda^*(M^n)$  with the operator (12) is canonically isomorphic to the cohomology  $H_\rho^*(M^n, C)$ , where  $\rho = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  and  $p_q = \ln \mu_q$ .

We now define a sequence of ‘‘Massey operations’’: for a 1-form class  $[\omega]$  and a class  $[a] \in H^q(M^n, C)$ ,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\omega, a\}_0 &= [\omega \wedge a], \\ \{\omega, a\}_1 &= \{\omega \wedge v_1\}, \quad dv_1 = \omega \wedge a, \quad \dots, \\ \{\omega, a\}_l &= [\omega \wedge v_l], \quad dv_l = \{\omega, a\}_{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Massey operation of index  $l$  is defined for any pair of elements  $[w], [a]$  in the kernel of every Massey operation of order  $s < l$ , and is multiple-valued for  $l \geq 1$ :

$$\{\omega, a\}_l \in \bigcap_{s < l} \text{Ker}\{\omega, \cdot\}_s / \bigcup_s \text{Im}\{\omega, \cdot\}_s.$$

**Theorem 1.** *The cohomology of the operator  $d_{\varepsilon\omega}$  is isomorphic, except for certain complex ‘‘root’’ values of  $\varepsilon$ , to the following linear space:*

$$(14) \quad H_{\rho(\varepsilon)}^*(M^n, C) = \bigcap_l \text{Ker}\{\omega, \cdot\}_l / \bigcup_l \text{Im}\{\omega, \cdot\}_l.$$

*The number of root values of  $\varepsilon$  is finite for rational  $[\omega]$ , and for all  $[\omega] \in H^1(M^n, C)$  is finite in any compact region in  $C \setminus 0$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\rho$  and  $\rho_1$  be two representations of the group  $\Gamma = \pi^1(M^n)$ , where  $\rho$  is unitary and  $\rho_1$  real and one-dimensional, with*

$$\ln \rho_1(\gamma) = \oint_\gamma \omega, \quad d\omega = 0.$$

*Then for the real closed Morse form  $\omega$  we have the inequalities*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} m_q(\omega) &\geq \varinjlim b_q^{\rho(\varepsilon)} / N, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow \infty, \\ \rho(\varepsilon) &= \rho \rho_1^\varepsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow U(N) \cdot R. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 follows from the arguments in [2] with some simple additions: although a level surface of  $\pi^*\omega$  on the covering space  $\hat{M}$  may not be compact, any compact set moving ‘‘downwards’’ along the gradient either is snagged at a critical point or passes through all values of  $g$ , where  $dg = \pi^*\omega$ , and its size increases no faster than linearly.

*Idea of the proof of Theorem 1.* Consider a form  $a(\varepsilon) = a_0 + \varepsilon a_1 + \dots + \varepsilon^m a_m + \dots$  such that  $d_{\varepsilon\omega} a(\varepsilon) = 0$ . It is easily verified that  $da_0 = 0$ ,  $da_1 = \omega \wedge a_0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $da_m = \omega \wedge a_{m-1}$ . This implies that the left-hand side of (14) is at most equal to the right. The opposite direction is harder. Working with the nonuniqueness of the choice of the  $a_i$  in the cohomology classes, one must construct  $a(\varepsilon)$  as a convergent series for small  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The root values of  $\varepsilon$  are the intersections of the complex curve  $\mu_q(\varepsilon) = \exp(\varepsilon p_q)$  with the subvarieties  $W_{\alpha_j}$  of Proposition 2. For rational classes  $(p_1 : \dots : p_k) \in O$  this curve is algebraic.

**Remark.** For  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k = 1$  and  $\rho = 1$ , it has been pointed out to the author by Pazhitnov that inequality (15) can be proved by the procedure in [5], and also that the rank  $b_q^{\rho(\varepsilon)}$  coincides for large  $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$  with the number  $b_q(M^n, [\omega])^q$  introduced by the author in [2].<sup>1</sup>

**Proposition 3.** For  $k = 1$ , there exists a singular point  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{0j} \in C \setminus 0$  for which the rank  $b_j^{\rho(\varepsilon_{0j})}$  has the form of a ‘‘Morse–Smale number over  $K$ ’’:

$$b_j^{\rho(\varepsilon_{0j})} = b_j(\hat{M}, K) + q_j(\hat{M}, K) + q_{j-1}(\hat{M}, K),$$

where  $b_j$  is the dimension of the  $K$ -free part of the module  $H_j(\hat{M}, K)$  and  $q_j$  is the number of  $K$ -generators in the  $K$ -periodic part. Here  $K$  is the principal ideal ring  $C[t, t^{-1}]$ . It can happen that

$$|\rho(\varepsilon_{0j})| \neq 1 \quad p(\varepsilon_{0j}) \notin R_U(\mathbb{Z}, C).$$

For unitary representations  $\rho$  the proofs of the generalized inequalities of Morse and of the author (Proposition 1 and Theorem 2) are easily derived from the procedure in [5], applied to the operator  $d + \pi^* \omega \cdot \varepsilon$  on the complexes  $\Lambda_\rho^*$ , where  $\omega = df$  for the single-valued case.

The operators  $d_\omega = d + \omega$ ,  $\delta_\omega$  (the Hermitian adjoint), and  $\Delta_\omega = (d_\omega + \delta_\omega)^2$  can be defined also for nonclosed forms  $\omega$ . Let  $\omega = \omega^0 + i\omega^1$ . Then  $\Delta_\omega = \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{\nabla}^j + (\omega^0, \omega^0) + C$ ; here the connection operators  $\tilde{\nabla}$  are defined on vector fields by the local formula  $\tilde{\nabla}_j = \partial_j + \Gamma_{kj}^s + i\delta_k^s \omega_j^1$ , where  $\Gamma_{kj}^s$  is the usual Riemannian connection. The operator  $C$  is of order 0 and depends linearly on  $\omega^0$ . In the Euclidean metric  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  we have  $C = C_{kj}(a^{*k} - a^k)(a^{*j} + a^j)$ , where  $\omega^0 = C_{kj}x^j dx^k$ ,  $C_{kj} = \text{const} \in R$ , the operators  $a^{*k}$  are multiplication by  $dx^k$ , and the  $a^k$  are their adjoints:

$$a^{*k}a^j + a^j a^{*k} = g^{kj}, \quad a^{*j}a^{*k} + a^{*k}a^{*j} = 0.$$

Suppose  $\det C_{kj} \neq 0$ . Denote by  $m_\pm(C_{kq})$  the number of zero modes of the operator  $\Delta_{\varepsilon\omega}$ ,  $\omega = C_{kq}x^q dx^k$ , in the Euclidean metric on  $R^n$ , on the spaces  $\Lambda_\pm^\pm$  of even and odd forms, for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ . Suppose given a real 1-form  $\Omega$  with nondegenerate critical points  $x_j$ ,  $\Omega(x_j) = 0$ ,  $\partial_k \Omega_q(x_j) = C_{kq}^{(j)}$ , and a unitary bundle  $\rho$  of zero curvature. Then

$$(16) \quad m_\pm(\Omega) = \sum_j m_\pm(C_{kq}^{(j)}) \geq \overrightarrow{\lim} b_\pm^\rho(\varepsilon\Omega)/N, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here  $N$  is the dimension of  $\rho$  and  $b_\pm^\rho(\Omega)$  is the kernel (the number of zero modes) of the operator  $(d_\Omega + \delta_\Omega)$  on  $\Lambda_\rho^\pm$ . The right-hand side  $b_\pm^\rho$  is topologically invariant for closed  $\Omega$ . We have always  $m_+(C_{kq}) - m_-(C_{kq}) = \text{sgn} \det C$ . Almost always,  $m_\pm(C_{kq}) = 1$  or  $0$ . The most important classes of matrices are (a)  $C_{kq} = C_{qk}$ , (b)  $C_{kq} = -C_{qk}$  for isometries, and (c)  $[S, \Lambda] = 0$ , where  $S$  and  $\Lambda$  are the symmetric and skewsymmetric parts of the tensor  $C_{kq}$ . This corresponds to holomorphic vector fields on Kählerian manifolds. Here the numbers  $m_\pm(C_{kq})$  are easily computed.

**Problem.** Compute the number of zero modes  $m_\pm(C_{kq})$ . Let  $M_\pm(\varepsilon\Omega)$  be the spaces of quasiclassical zero modes, for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ , of the operator  $\Delta_{\varepsilon\Omega}$ . Describe geometrically, in the language of the dynamical system  $\dot{x}^i = \Omega^i = g^{ij}\Omega_j$ , the operator  $T = d_{\varepsilon\Omega} + \delta_{\varepsilon\Omega}: M_+(\Omega) \mapsto M_-(\Omega)$  that picks out in order the ‘‘true’’ zero modes from the quasiclassical ones as  $\varepsilon^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  (for  $\Omega_j = \partial_j f$  we obtain the cell

<sup>1</sup>Translator’s note. More precisely, in [3].

complex of the function  $f$ ). The bounds (16) then take the form of the “Morse inequalities for dynamical systems”,  $T \sim e^{-\varepsilon}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. Sharko, *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **32** (1980), 711–713; English transl. in *Ukrainian Math. J.* **32** (1980).
- [2] S. P. Novikov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **260** (1981), 31–35; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **24** (1981).
- [3] S. P. Novikov, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **37** (1982), no. 5(227), 3–49; English transl. in *Russian Math. Surveys* **37** (1982).
- [4] M. Sh. Farber, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **19** (1985), no. 1, 49–59; English transl. in *Functional Anal. Appl.* **19** (1985).
- [5] Edward Witten, *J. Differential Geometry* **17** (1982), 661–692 (1983).
- [6] B. A. Dubrovin, S. P. Novikov, and A. T. Fomenko, *Modern geometry. Methods of homology theory*, “Nauka”, Moscow, 1984.

LANDAU INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE USSR, CHERNOGOLOVKA, MOSCOW REGION