

## EXERCISES

### Lect. 16–18: Sequent Calculi & Cut Elimination

**Exercise 1.** Prove the cut elimination theorem for the Gentzen-style sequent calculus for classical first-order logic:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{A \Rightarrow A} \quad \overline{\perp \Rightarrow A} \\
\frac{\Gamma, A_i \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta} (\wedge L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A_1 \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A_2}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A_1 \wedge A_2} (\wedge R) \\
\frac{\Gamma, A_1 \Rightarrow C \quad \Gamma, A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \vee A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta} (\vee L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A_i}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A_1 \vee A_2} (\vee R) \\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma_2, B \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow \Delta} (\rightarrow L) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta, B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \\
\frac{\Gamma, A(t) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x A(x) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\forall L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A(y)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \forall x A(x)} (\forall R) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A(y) \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \exists x A(x) \Rightarrow \Delta} (\exists L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \exists x A(x)} (\exists R)
\end{array}$$

(Constraints:  $y \notin FV(\Gamma, \Delta)$ ; the substitution of  $t$  for  $x$  is free.)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta} (WL) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A} (WR) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow \Delta} (CL) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A, A}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, A} (CR) \\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Delta_1, A \quad A, \Gamma_2 \Rightarrow \Delta_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \Rightarrow \Delta_1, \Delta_2} (cut)
\end{array}$$

**Exercise 2.** Using syntactic properties of FO-Int, show that the following formulae are not derivable in FO-Int:

1.  $\neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B) \rightarrow (A \vee B)$ ;
2.  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg A \vee B)$ ;
3.  $\neg \forall x \neg A(x) \rightarrow \exists x A(x)$ ;
4.  $\neg \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x \neg A(x)$ ;
5.  $\neg(A \wedge B) \rightarrow (\neg A \vee \neg B)$ ;
6.  $\forall x \neg \neg A(x) \rightarrow \neg \neg \forall x A(x)$ .

**Exercise 3.** *Kuroda's translation.* If  $\varphi$  is a first-order formula, let  $\varphi^K$  be obtained from  $\varphi$  by putting  $\neg\neg$  in front of the whole formula and after each  $\forall$  quantifier. Prove that  $\varphi$  is derivable in FO-CL iff  $\varphi^K$  is derivable in FO-Int.

**Exercise 4.** Show that the  $(\forall L)$ ,  $(\wedge R)$ , and  $(\rightarrow R)$  rules in the Gentzen-style sequent calculus for FO-Int (see on slides) and FO-CL (Exercise 1) are invertible, i.e., if the goal sequent is derivable, then the premise, or both premises, are also derivable.