

EXERCISES

Lect. 11–15: First Order Intuitionistic Logic

Exercise 1. For each of the following formulae, find out whether it is provable in FO-Int. If yes, provide a proof (possibly, using Deduction Theorem). You can use a proof assistant to speed your work up a bit. If no, construct a Kripke model that falsifies the formula.

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. $\neg\exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)$ | 8. $\exists x \forall y Q(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x Q(x, y)$ |
| 2. $\forall x \neg P(x) \rightarrow \neg\exists x P(x)$ | 9. $\forall y \exists x Q(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x \forall y Q(x, y)$ |
| 3. $\neg\neg\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x \neg\neg P(x)$ | 10. $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x P(x)$ |
| 4. $\neg\neg\exists x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x \neg\neg P(x)$ | 11. $\exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x P(x)$ |
| 5. $\exists x \neg\neg P(x) \rightarrow \neg\neg\exists x P(x)$ | 12. $\neg\neg\forall x (P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ |
| 6. $\forall x P(x) \vee \exists x \neg P(x)$ | 13. $\forall x \neg\neg(P(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ |
| 7. $\exists x P(x) \vee \forall x \neg P(x)$ | 14. $\neg(\forall x \neg\neg P(x) \wedge \neg\forall x P(x))$ |

Exercise 2. Show that Glivenko’s theorem is false for FO-Int, i.e., construct a formula φ (without free variables) such that $\vdash_{\text{FO-CL}} \varphi$, but $\not\vdash_{\text{FO-Int}} \neg\neg\varphi$.

Hint: use one of the formulae of the previous exercise, and remember that for formulae of the form $\varphi = \neg\psi$ the double negation principle ($\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$) is intuitionistically derivable.

Exercise 3. Does adding the principle $\forall x (\psi \vee \varphi(x)) \rightarrow \psi \vee \forall x \varphi(x)$ (where x is not a free variable of ψ) to FO-Int yield FO-CL?

Exercise 4*. Prove the *constructive property* of \exists in intuitionistic logic: if $\vdash_{\text{FO-Int}} \exists x \varphi(x)$, then $\vdash_{\text{FO-Int}} \varphi(t)$ for some term t .