

EXERCISES

Lect. 1 & 2: Propositional Intuitionistic Logic

Exercise 1. For each of the following formulae establish whether the formula is derivable if Int (if “yes”, derive it, maybe using Deduction Theorem; if “no”, construct a Kripke model that falsifies it):

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \vee p)$ | 9. $(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ |
| 2. $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \wedge p)$ | 10. $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \vee (r \rightarrow p)$ |
| 3. $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$ | 11. $(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(p \wedge q)$ |
| 4. $(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ | 12. $\neg(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)$ |
| 5. $p \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ | 13. $(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(p \vee q)$ |
| 6. $\neg\neg p \rightarrow p$ | 14. $\neg(p \vee q) \rightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ |
| 7. $\neg\neg\neg p \rightarrow \neg p$ | 15. $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$ |
| 8. $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$ | 16. $((((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$ |

Exercise 2.

1. Is the formula $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$ derivable in Int?
2. Does adding the axiom scheme $(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow A)$ to Int yield CL?

Exercise 3. *Glivenko’s theorem.* Prove that for any formula A the following holds: $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ if and only if $\vdash_{\text{Int}} \neg\neg A$. (Compare with the double negation translation.)

Exercise 4*. Construct a formula that can be falsified by a Kripke model of depth n , but is true in all Kripke models of depth less than n . (The *depth* of a model is the length of the longest sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of possible worlds, where $x_i R x_{i+1}$, but not $x_{i+1} R x_i$ (in particular, all the elements of such a sequence are distinct).)

Hint: first try small n ’s: $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Exercise 5*. Show that adding the axiom scheme $\neg A \vee \neg\neg A$ (“weak excluded middle”) to Int yields a logic that is strictly stronger than Int (i.e., $\not\vdash_{\text{Int}} \neg p \vee \neg\neg p$) and strictly weaker than CL (i.e., it doesn’t yet derive the original law of excluded middle).

Exercise 6.

1. Prove that for any formula A the following holds: $\vdash_{\text{CL}} \neg A$ if and only if $\vdash_{\text{Int}} \neg A$.
2. Prove that if A is built from variables using only \neg and \wedge , then $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ if and only if $\vdash_{\text{Int}} A$.