

## ON UNCONDITIONAL CONVERGENCE IN THE SPACE $L_1$

UDC 517.52

B. S. KAŠIN

**Abstract.** The paper contains a proof of the following

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\sum_1^\infty f_k(x)$  converges unconditionally in  $L_1[0, 1]$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E_\epsilon \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu E_\epsilon > 1 - \epsilon$ , such that  $\sum_1^\infty f_k(x)$  converges unconditionally in  $L_q(E_\epsilon)$  for every  $q < 2$ .

This result is obtained as a corollary of a more general theorem.

Bibliography: 2 items.

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space. Then the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \quad (x_k \in X, k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (1)$$

is said to be *unconditionally convergent in  $X$*  if any series derived from it by a rearrangement of terms converges in the norm of  $X$ . The definition of unconditional convergence in measure of the functional series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x), \quad (2)$$

where the  $f_i(x)$  are measurable functions on a set  $E$ , is completely analogous.

A well-known theorem of Orlicz (see [2], subdivision [168]) states that the series (1) converges unconditionally in  $X$  if and only if every series of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k x_k,$$

where  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$ , converges in the norm of  $X$ .

In connection with the investigations of general functional series, the following problem was posed by E. M. Nikišin in a seminar on the theory of functions at Moscow University.

Suppose  $\{f_i\}_1^\infty$  is a sequence of measurable functions on  $[0, 1]$  and the series (2) converges unconditionally in Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Does there exist for any

$\epsilon > 0$  a set  $E_\epsilon \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $mE_\epsilon > 1 - \epsilon$  and the series (2) converges unconditionally in  $L_2(E_\epsilon)$ ?

Nikišin encountered this problem as a limiting case in a certain sense of a general theorem of his (see [1], Theorem 7).

The present paper contains a partial solution of this problem, viz. a proof of the following fact.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose the series (2) converges unconditionally in the space  $L_1[0, 1]$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E_\epsilon \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $mE_\epsilon > 1 - \epsilon$ , such that the series (2) converges unconditionally in the space  $L_q(E_\epsilon)$  for every  $q < 2$ .*

It follows from the results of Nikišin that unconditional convergence in measure of the series (2) implies its unconditional convergence in the (no longer Banach) space  $L_p$  for any  $p < 1$ .

Theorem 1 is a corollary of Theorem 2 proved below, which is of independent interest.

Let  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_1^n$  denote an arbitrary vector of the  $n$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose given a system of functions  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  with  $e_i \in L_1[0, 1]$ . For any  $p \in [1, \infty]$  we put

$$B_p \equiv B_p(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sup_{\|\gamma\|_{L_p}=1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1}. \quad (3)$$

It is well known that

$$B_\infty = \sup_{\gamma_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1}.$$

Since the norm in the space  $l_p$  of  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_1^n$ , where  $\gamma_i = \pm 1$ , is equal to  $n^{1/p}$ , we have

$$B_\infty = \sup_{\gamma_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} \leq \sup_{\|\gamma\|_{L_p} = n^{1/p}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} \leq B_p n^{1/p},$$

which implies

$$B_p \geq \frac{B_\infty}{n^{1/p}}. \quad (4)$$

The reverse of inequality (4) is valid in the following sense.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  be a system of  $n$  functions  $e_i \in L_1[0, 1]$ . Then for any  $p > 2$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist constants  $c_{\epsilon, p}$  and a subsystem  $\{e_{i_\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^s$  such that  $s > n(1 - \epsilon)$  and*

$$B_p(\{e_{i_\nu}\}) \leq \frac{B_\infty(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{n^{1/p}} \cdot c_{\epsilon, p}. \quad (5)$$

We will first prove some lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $p > 2$  there exists a number  $d$  such that the following inequality*

is valid for any natural number  $n \geq d$  and system  $\{e_i(x)\}_1^n$  of functions from  $L_1[0, 1]$  satisfying the condition  $\|e_i\|_{L_1} \geq 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ):

$$\sup_{\gamma_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} > 2n^{1/p}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\{r_i(t)\}_1^\infty$  be Rademacher's system (see [2], subdivision [225]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\gamma_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) e_i(x) \right\|_{L_1} \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) e_i(x) \right| dx dt = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) e_i(x) \right| dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Khintchine's inequality (see [2], subdivision [456]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t) e_i(x) \right| dt dx &\geq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i(x)|}{\sqrt{n}} dx \geq \frac{1}{8} \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}} > 2n^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $n \geq d$ , where  $d = d(p)$  is a sufficiently large number. Lemma 1 is proved.

The assertion of Lemma 1 is well known; we will use it in precisely this, which is not the strongest, form.

We further require some notation and definitions. We introduce in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  the system of vectors  $U_\infty = \{u\} = \{\text{all vectors, except the zero vector, whose coordinates are equal to } 0, \pm 1\}$ .

The system of vectors  $U_\infty$  is normalized in  $l_p$  for each  $p \geq 1$ ; the resultant system of vectors is denoted by  $U_p$ . By the support of a vector  $u = \{u_i\}_1^n \in U_p$  is meant the set of numbers  $i$  such that  $u_i \neq 0$ . We denote the support of a vector  $u \in U_p$  by  $N(u)$ , and the number of elements in  $N(u)$  by  $|N(u)|$ . Clearly, if  $|N(u)| = k$ , then

$$u_i = 0, \quad \text{if } i \notin N(u); \quad |u_i| = \frac{1}{k^{1/p}}, \quad \text{if } i \in N(u). \tag{6}$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose given a system  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  of functions  $e_i \in L_1[0, 1]$ . Then for any numbers  $p > 2$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a number  $c_{\epsilon,p}$  and a set  $\{e_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^s \subset \{e_i\}_1^n$  such that  $s > n(1 - \epsilon)$  and for any vector  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_1^n \in U_p$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^s \gamma_{j_k} e_{j_k} \right\|_{L_1[0,1]} \leq \frac{c_{\epsilon,p} B_\infty(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{n^{1/p}}.$$

**Proof.** We will make use of the following property of the system  $U_p$ . Suppose  $\gamma \in U_p$ . Suppose, further,  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t$  are vectors from  $U_p$ .

$$s_i = |N(\gamma_i)|, \quad i = 1, \dots, t,$$

and  $N(\gamma_i) \cap N(\gamma_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i, j \in [1, t], i \neq j$ . Then it is easily verified that for any system  $\{\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i^t\}$  of numbers  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$  the vectors

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \epsilon_i \gamma_i s_i^{1/p}}{(s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_t)^{1/p}} \in U_p \tag{6'}$$

and their supports contain  $s_1 + \dots + s_t$  elements.

Suppose  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n \in U_p$  and  $N(\gamma)$  contains  $k \geq \epsilon n / 4d$  elements ( $d$  is the number in Lemma 1). We have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} \leq B_\infty \|\gamma\|_{L_\infty}. \tag{7}$$

But in view of (6) either  $\gamma_i = 0$  or  $|\gamma_i| = k^{-1/p}$ , and hence

$$\|\gamma\|_{L_\infty} = \frac{1}{k^{1/p}} < \frac{(4d)^{1/p}}{(\epsilon n)^{1/p}}. \tag{8}$$

Combining (7) and (8), we get that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} \leq \frac{B_\infty (4d)^{1/p}}{(\epsilon n)^{1/p}} \leq \frac{B_\infty}{n^{1/p}} \cdot \left(\frac{4d}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/p}. \tag{9}$$

Let us prove that the lemma is valid for  $c_{\epsilon, p} = (4d/\epsilon)^{1/p}$ . Inequality (9) can be formulated as follows.

Suppose  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n \in U_p$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} > M \equiv \frac{B_\infty}{n^{1/p}} \cdot \left(\frac{4d}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Then

$$|N(\bar{\gamma})| < \frac{n\epsilon}{4d}. \tag{10}$$

We must prove that there exists a set of numbers  $A = \{i_j\}_1^m$  such that  $m \leq n\epsilon$  and for any  $\gamma_0 = \{\gamma_i\}_1^n \in U_p$  satisfying the condition  $N(\gamma_0) \cap A = \emptyset$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_{L_1} \leq M. \tag{11}$$

Let us construct a system  $B = \{\gamma_i\}_1^k$  of vectors  $\gamma_i \in U_p$  and a system  $\{z_i\}_1^k$  of functions  $z_i \in L_1$  as follows.

Suppose there exists a vector  $\beta = \{\beta_i\}_1^n \in U_p$  such that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i\|_{L_1} > M$ . (If such a vector does not exist, the lemma is valid for  $A = \emptyset$ .) In view of (10) it is clear that  $N(\beta)$  contains less than  $n\epsilon/4d$  elements. We put  $\gamma_1 = \beta$  and  $z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i$ .

Suppose the vectors  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\nu$  and the elements  $z_1, \dots, z_\nu$  have been constructed. Then, if there exists a vector  $\beta' = \{\beta'_i\}_1^n \in U_p$  such that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \beta'_i e_i\| > M$  and  $N(\beta') \cap$

$(\bigcup_1^{\nu} N(\gamma_i)) = \emptyset$ , we put

$$\gamma_{\nu+1} = \beta', \quad z_{\nu+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta'_i e_i. \tag{12}$$

(If such a vector  $\beta^t$  does not exist, the construction is complete.)

According to the construction of the system  $B = \{\gamma_i\}_1^k$ , when  $\gamma_0 = \{\gamma_i\}_1^n \in U_p$  and  $N(\gamma_0) \cap (\bigcup_1^k N(\gamma_i)) = \emptyset$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j e_j \right\| \leq M,$$

i.e. inequality (11) holds if  $A = \bigcup_1^k N(\gamma_i)$ . It remains to prove that the number of elements in  $A$  is not greater than  $n\epsilon$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |N(\gamma_i)| < n\epsilon. \tag{13}$$

The inequality  $1 \leq |N(\gamma_i)| < n\epsilon/4d$  holds for any  $i \in [1, k]$  in view of (10) and the construction of the system  $\{\gamma_i\}$ . Suppose  $2^r \leq n\epsilon/4d < 2^{r+1}$ . We divide the interval  $[1, n\epsilon/4d]$  into the intervals  $[2^{i-1}, 2^i - 1]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $[2^r, n\epsilon/4d]$ .

Let  $\alpha_i(B)$  denote the group of vectors  $\{\gamma_j\} \subset B$  such that  $2^{i-1} \leq |N(\gamma_j)| \leq 2^i - 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ), and let  $\alpha_{r+1}(B)$  denote the group of vectors  $\{\gamma_j\} \subset B$  such that  $2^r \leq |N(\gamma_j)| < n\epsilon/4d$ .

We will successively alter the system  $B = \{\gamma_i\}_1^k$ , obtaining systems  $B^1, B^2, \dots$ , etc. Each of the systems  $B^l$  (their construction is described below) consists of vectors belonging to  $U_p$  and having the following properties:

- 1)  $\sum_{\gamma \in B} |N(\gamma)| = \sum_{\gamma \in B^l} |N(\gamma)|$ ;
- 2) if  $\gamma_1 \in B^l, \gamma_2 \in B^l, \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ , then  $N(\gamma_1) \cap N(\gamma_2) = \emptyset$ ;
- 3) if  $\gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n \in B^l$ , then  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\| > M$ .

It therefore suffices for the proof of (13) (by virtue of property 1) of (14)) to prove it for some system  $B^l$ .

We will successively consider the groups  $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, r + 1$ .

Suppose the group  $\alpha_1(B)$  contains less than  $d$  elements ( $d$  is the number in Lemma 1). Then system  $B$  is not altered and we proceed to the group  $\alpha_2(B)$ .

Suppose the group  $\alpha_1(B)$  contains at least  $d$  elements:  $\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_t}, t \geq d$ , and let  $S_\mu = |N(\gamma_{i_\mu})|$  ( $1 \leq \mu \leq t$ ). Then, according to (6'), the vectors

$$\frac{\epsilon_1 \gamma_{i_1} s_1^{1/p} \mp \dots \mp \epsilon_t \gamma_{i_t} s_t^{1/p}}{(s_1 + \dots + s_t)^{1/p}}$$

belong to  $U_p$  under any choice of the system  $\{\epsilon_r\}_1^t, \epsilon_r = \pm 1, 1 \leq r \leq t$ , and hence the elements

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1 z_{i_1} s_1^{1/p} \oplus \dots \oplus \varepsilon_t z_{i_t} s_t^{1/p}}{(s_1 \oplus \dots \oplus s_t)^{1/p}} \tag{15}$$

of the space  $L_1[0, 1]$  have the form  $\sum_1^n \gamma_i e_i, \{\gamma_i\} = \gamma \in U_p$ , under any choice of the system  $\{\varepsilon_r\}_1^t$ .

By construction, we have  $\|z_j\| > M$  for  $j = 1, \dots, t$  (see (12)). Lemma 1 therefore implies the existence of a collection  $\{\varepsilon_r\}_1^t$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{\sum_{r=1}^t \varepsilon_r z_{i_r} s_r^{1/p}}{\left(\sum_{r=1}^t s_r\right)^{1/p}} \right\| > \frac{2Mt^{1/p} (\min_{1 \leq r \leq t} s_r^{1/p})}{\left(\sum_{r=1}^t s_r\right)^{1/p}} > M.$$

(We have made use of the fact that all of the vectors  $\gamma_{i_r}$  belong to the group  $\alpha_1(B)$  and hence that  $s_i/s_j \leq 2$  for  $i, j \in [1, t]$ .)

We replace in system  $B$  all of the vectors of the group  $\alpha_1(B)$  by the vector

$$\frac{\sum_{r=1}^t \varepsilon_r \gamma_{i_r} s_r^{1/p}}{\left(\sum_{r=1}^t s_r\right)^{1/p}} = \mathbf{v}, \quad N(\mathbf{v}) = \bigcup_{r=1}^t N(\gamma_{i_r}), \quad \mathbf{v} \in U_p. \tag{16}$$

The resultant system is denoted by  $B^1$ . Conditions (14) are satisfied by virtue of (16). It is important that  $\alpha_1(B^1)$  contain less than  $d$  elements.

We proceed to the group  $\alpha_2(B^1)$ . As with the group  $\alpha_1(B)$ , if the group  $\alpha_2(B^1)$  contains less than  $d$  elements, we alter nothing in system  $B^1$ , while if  $\alpha_2(B^1)$  contains at least  $d$  elements, we replace in system  $B^1$  all of the vectors of  $\alpha_2$  by a linear combination of them such that (14) is satisfied, and then proceed to the group  $\alpha_3(B^2)$ .

At the  $(r + 1)$ th step we obtain a system  $B^{r+1}$  such that each group  $\alpha_i$  contains less than  $d$  elements, i.e.

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in B^{r+1}} |N(\mathbf{v})| = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \alpha_i} |N(\mathbf{v})| < d \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} 2^i \leq d \cdot 2^{r+2} < 4d \cdot \frac{n\varepsilon}{4d} = n\varepsilon,$$

which proves (13) and, consequently, the lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $S_p^n$  denote the convex hull of the system  $U_p$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $p \geq 1$ ), and let  $z_0$  belong to the boundary of  $S_p^n$ . Then for any  $q > p$  there exists a constant  $c_{pq} > 0$ , not depending on  $n$ , such that

$$\|z_0\|_{l_q} \geq \frac{c_{pq}}{n^{1/p-1/q}}. \tag{1}$$

**Proof.** It suffices to prove that for any  $q > p$  there exists a  $c'_{pq} > 0$  such that for any vector  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a decomposition  $z_0 = \sum_1^n \lambda_i \gamma_i$ , where

(1) If  $z_0$  belongs to the boundary of  $S_p^n$ , by reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3 we get that  $\|z_0\|_{l_p} > (\ln n)^{-\alpha} \cdot c_p$  (for  $n > 2$ ), where  $\alpha$  depends only on  $p$  (e.g.  $\alpha = 1/2$  if  $p = 2$ ).

1)  $\lambda_i > 0$  for any  $i$ ,

$$2) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq c'_{pq} \cdot n^{1/p-1/q} \cdot \|z_0\|_{l_q}, \text{ and} \tag{17}$$

3)  $\gamma_i \in U_p$  for any  $i \in [1, n]$ .

For suppose (17) is satisfied, while  $z_0$  belongs to the boundary of  $S_p^n$  and

$$\|z_0\|_{l_q} < \frac{1}{c'_{pq}} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{1/p-1/q}}$$

(i.e.  $1/c'_{pq}$  has been taken as  $c_{pq}$ ). Then  $z_0 = \sum_1^n \lambda_i \gamma_i$ , where by virtue of (17)

$$a) \lambda_i > 0, \quad b) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i < 1, \quad c) \gamma_i \in U_p.$$

But this contradicts the fact that  $z_0$  belongs to the boundary of  $S_p^n$ .

Let us prove (17). Let  $z = \{z_i\}_1^n$ . Since the set  $U_p$  is the same in any orthant of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it can be assumed that  $z_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots \geq z_n$ . Then  $z = z_n \alpha_n + (z_{n-1} - z_n) \alpha_{n-1} + \dots + (z_1 - z_2) \alpha_1$ , where

$$\alpha_n = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n), \quad \alpha_{n-1} = \{\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}, 0\}, \dots, \quad \alpha_1 = (1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}).$$

The vectors  $\gamma_i = \alpha_i / i^{1/p}$  clearly belong to  $U_p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus

$$z = z_n \cdot n^{1/p} \gamma_n + (z_{n-1} - z_n) (n-1)^{1/p} \gamma_{n-1} + \dots + (z_1 - z_2) \cdot 1^{1/p} \gamma_1.$$

Let us prove that conditions (17) are satisfied for this decomposition. Parts 1) and 3) are obviously satisfied. Let us prove that part 2) is also satisfied, i.e. that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = z_n n^{1/p} + (z_{n-1} - z_n) (n-1)^{1/p} + \dots + (z_1 - z_2) 1^{1/p} \\ &\leq c'_{p,q} n^{1/p-1/q} \cdot \|z\|_{l_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Transforming, we get

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (i^{1/p} - (i-1)^{1/p}),$$

i.e.

$$\Omega \leq c_p \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i}{i^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}. \tag{18}$$

Let  $q' = 1/(1 - 1/q)$  and  $p' = 1/(1 - 1/p)$ . We apply Hölder's inequality with exponents  $q$  and  $q'$  to the sum of (18):

$$\Omega \leq c_p \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^q \right)^{1/q} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{(1/p')q'}} \right)^{1/q'}$$

Since it follows from the inequality  $p < q$  that  $p' > q'$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{q'/p'}} \leq c_{p,q} \cdot n^{1-\frac{q'}{p'}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega \leq c_p \cdot c'_{p,q} \cdot \|z\|_{l_q} \cdot n^{(1-\frac{q'}{p'}) \frac{1}{q'}},$$

But

$$n^{(1-\frac{q'}{p'})\frac{1}{q'}} = n^{\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{p'}} = n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus property (17) and, with it, Lemma 3 are proved.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose  $p > 2$  and suppose given a system  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  of functions  $e_i \in L_1[0, 1]$ . We take a  $p'$  such that  $2 < p' < p$ . According to Lemma 2, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  it is possible to choose from the system  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  a subsystem  $\{e_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^s$  such that  $s > n(1 - \epsilon)$  and the following inequality is valid for any vector  $\gamma = \{\gamma_j\}_1^n \in U_{p'}$ :

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^s \gamma_{j_k} e_{j_k} \right\|_{L_1} \leq c_{\epsilon, p'} \cdot \frac{B_{\infty}(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{n^{1/p'}}. \quad (19)$$

Then, if  $x = \{x_j\}_1^n \in S_p^n$ , we have  $x = \sum_1^m \alpha_i \gamma_i$ , where 1)  $\gamma_i \in U_{p'}$ , and 2)  $\sum_1^m |\alpha_i| \leq 1$  (this follows from the properties of convex hulls), and hence

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^s x_{j_k} \cdot e_{j_k} \right\|_{L_1} \leq \sup_{\gamma \in U_{p'}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^s \gamma_{j_k} e_{j_k} \right\|_{L_1} \leq c_{\epsilon, p'} \cdot \frac{B_{\infty}(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{n^{1/p'}}.$$

The latter inequality is satisfied by virtue of (19). But, according to Lemma 3,  $S_p^n$  contains a ball of the space  $l_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of radius  $c_{p, p'} / n^{1/p' - 1/p}$ , so that for any  $y = \{y_j\}_1^n$ ,  $\|y\|_{l_p} = 1$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^s y_{j_k} e_{j_k} \right\|_{L_1} \leq \frac{c_{\epsilon, p'} B_{\infty}(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{c_{p', p} n^{1/p'}} \cdot n^{1/p' - 1/p}$$

and

$$B_p(\{e_{j_k}\}) = \sup_{\|y\|_{l_p} = 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^s y_{j_k} e_{j_k} \right\| \leq c_{\epsilon, p'} \frac{B_{\infty}(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{n^{1/p}},$$

which proves (5). Theorem 2 is proved.

**Remark.** Theorem 2 admits a generalization. We will say that a Banach space  $E$  satisfies the  $\alpha_p$ -condition ( $p > 1$ ) if for any  $q > p$  there exists a number  $d$  such that for any natural number  $n > d$  and system  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  of elements  $e_i \in E$  with  $\|e_i\|_E \geq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) we have

$$\sup_{\gamma_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_E \geq n^{1/q}.$$

Suppose given a system  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  of elements  $e_i \in E$ . Analogously to the preceding, we put

$$B_q(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \sup_{\|\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n\|_q = 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i e_i \right\|_E.$$

We now formulate the generalization of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 2'.** Suppose a Banach space  $E$  satisfies the  $\alpha_p$ -condition for some  $p > 1$  and suppose  $\{e_i\}_1^n$  is a system of elements of  $E$ . Then for any  $q > p$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a constant  $c_{\epsilon, p}$  and a subsystem  $\{e_{i_\nu}\}_{\nu=1}^s$  such that  $s > n(1 - \epsilon)$  and



$$B_q(\{e_{i_v}\}) \leq \frac{B_\infty(\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n)}{n^{1/q}} c_{e,q}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2' is completely analogous to the proof of Theorem 2. The assertion of Lemma 1 of the present paper consists in the fact that the space  $L_1[0, 1]$  satisfies the  $\alpha_2$ -condition. The specific properties of  $L_1[0, 1]$  are not used elsewhere in the proof of Theorem 2.

We note, in addition, that one can prove, in the same way as Lemma 1, that any space  $L_p[0, 1]$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) satisfies the  $\alpha_2$ -condition.

Let us now prove Theorem 1. Theorem 1 is easily deduced from the following assertion, which is a weakened formulation of it.

Suppose given a series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x). \quad (20)$$

that converges unconditionally in  $L_1[0, 1]$ . Then for any  $p < 2$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a set  $E_{\epsilon,p} \subset [0, 1]$  with measure  $mE_{\epsilon,p} \geq 1 - \epsilon$  such that the series (20) converges unconditionally in  $L_p(E_{\epsilon,p})$ .

With the use of a simple result proved by Nikišin (see [1], §3, Lemma 3), this assertion can be obtained from the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose given a system  $\{g_i\}_1^m$  of functions  $g_i \in L_1[0, 1]$ , and let*

$$A_\infty = \sup_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i g_i \right\|_{L_1}.$$

*Then for any  $p < 2$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist a set  $E_{\epsilon,p} \subset [0, 1]$  with  $mE_{\epsilon,p} > 1 - \epsilon$ , and a number  $c_{\epsilon,p}$ , such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i g_i \right\|_{L_p(E_{\epsilon,p})} \leq A_\infty c_{\epsilon,p}.$$

In fact, suppose that Lemma 4 is valid. Since the series (20) converges unconditionally in  $L_1[0, 1]$ , it follows by virtue of the theorem of Orlicz formulated at the beginning of the article that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i f_i \right\|_{L_1} = k < \infty.$$

Taking fixed numbers  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $p < 2$  and applying Lemma 4 successively to the systems of functions  $\{f_i\}_1^m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , we construct a sequence of sets  $E_{\epsilon,p}^m$  such that  $m(E_{\epsilon,p}^m) > 1 - \epsilon$  and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i f_i \right\|_{L_p(E_{\epsilon,p}^m)} \leq c_{\epsilon,p} \cdot k.$$

Let  $\chi^m(x) \equiv \chi(E_{\epsilon,p}^m)$  be the characteristic function of the set  $E_{\epsilon,p}^m$ . From the sequence of functions  $\chi^m(x)$  it is possible to distinguish a subsequence  $\chi^{m_k}(x)$  that is weakly convergent in  $L_2[0, 1]$  to a nonnegative function  $\chi(x)$ . The lemma of Nikišin guarantees that there exists an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$m\{x : x \in [0, 1]; \chi(x) \geq \epsilon_0\} \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Let  $E_{\epsilon,p}$  denote the set of those  $x \in [0, 1]$  for which  $\chi(x) > \epsilon_0$ . It is easily seen that

$$\sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i f_i \right\|_{L_p(E_{\epsilon,p})} \leq \frac{c_{\epsilon,p}}{\epsilon_0} k,$$

and this is equivalent to the unconditional convergence of (20) in  $L_p(E_{\epsilon,p})$ .

Let us now prove Lemma 4 with the use of Theorem 2.

It suffices to carry out the proof of the lemma for the case when the  $g_i$  are piecewise constant functions that are constant on intervals of length  $1/s$ . Suppose  $g_i(k/s) = \alpha_{ik}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq s$ . Then

$$A_{\infty} = \sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i g_i \right\|_{L_1} = \frac{1}{s} \sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \sum_{k=1}^s \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \alpha_{ik} \right| = \frac{1}{s} \sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \sum_{\alpha_k = \pm 1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_k \epsilon_i \alpha_{ik}.$$

Analogously,

$$\sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i g_i \right\|_{L_p} = \frac{1}{s^{1/p}} \sup_{\substack{\epsilon_i = \pm 1 \\ \|\{\alpha_k\}\|_{l_p'} = 1}} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_k \epsilon_i \alpha_{ik} \quad (1/p + 1/p' = 1).$$

What we must prove can now be reformulated as follows.

Suppose given a bilinear form

$$\sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \alpha_{ik} \alpha_{ik}$$

with matrix  $A = \{\alpha_{ik}\}_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^s$ . It must be proved that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $c_{\epsilon,p}$  such that in  $A$  it is possible to retain  $s'$  columns with indices  $k_1, \dots, k_{s'}$  for which  $s' > s(1 - \epsilon)$  and

$$\frac{1}{s^{1/p}} \sup_{\substack{\epsilon_i = \pm 1 \\ \|\{\alpha_k\}\|_{l_p'} = 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{s'} \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \alpha_{k_j} \alpha_{i,k_j} \leq \frac{c_{\epsilon,p}}{s} \sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \alpha_{ik} \alpha_{ik},$$

i.e.

$$\sup_{\substack{\epsilon_i = \pm 1 \\ \|\{\alpha_k\}\|_{l_p'} = 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{s'} \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \alpha_{k_j} \alpha_{i,k_j} \leq \frac{c_{\epsilon,p}}{s^{1/p'}} \sup_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i \alpha_{ik} \alpha_{ik}. \tag{21}$$

We note that  $p' > 2$  since  $p < 2$ .

We now apply Theorem 2 in the following case: the system  $\{e_r\}_1^s$  consists of piecewise constant functions  $e_r$  that are constant on intervals of length  $1/m$  and are given by the columns

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} e_1 & \dots & e_r & \dots & e_s \\ \hline a_{11} & \cdot & a_{1r} & \cdot & a_{1s} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \hline a_{m1} & \cdot & a_{mr} & \cdot & a_{ms} \end{array},$$

where the  $a_{ij}$  are the elements of  $A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_\infty (\{e_r\}_{r=1}^s) &= \sup_{\alpha_k = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^s \alpha_k e_k \right\|_{L_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sup_{\alpha_k = \pm 1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^s a_{i,k} \alpha_k \right| = \frac{1}{m} \sup_{\substack{\alpha_k = \pm 1 \\ \varepsilon_i = \pm 1}} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \alpha_k a_{i,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$B_{p'} = \frac{1}{m} \sup_{\substack{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \\ \|\{\alpha_k\}\|_{p'} = 1}} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \alpha_k a_{i,k}.$$

According to Theorem 2, it is possible to retain  $s' > s(1 - \epsilon)$  columns of  $A$  for which

$$\sup_{\substack{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \\ \|\{\alpha_k\}\|_{p'} = 1}} \sum_{j=1}^{s'} \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \alpha_{k_j} a_{i,k_j} \leq \frac{c_{\varepsilon, p'}}{s^{1/p'}} \sup_{\substack{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \\ \alpha_k = \pm 1}} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i \alpha_k a_{i,k},$$

which coincides with (21). The lemma is proved.

Received 14/JUNE/73

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. E. M. Nikiš'in, *Resonance theorems and superlinear operators*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 25 (1970), no. 6 (156), 129–191 = Russian Math. Surveys 25 (1970), no. 6, 125–187. MR 45 #5643.
2. S. Kaczmarz and H. Steinhaus, *Theorie der Orthogonalreihen*, Monografie Mat., Tom 6, PWN, Warsaw, 1935; reprint, Chelsea, New York, 1951.

Translated by S. SMITH