

ANALOGUE OF MEN'SHOV'S THEOREM "ON CORRECTION" FOR DISCRETE ORTHONORMAL SYSTEMS

B. S. Kashin

In 1940 D. E. Men'shov obtained the following result which shows the possibility of an improvement of the convergence properties of the Fourier series of an arbitrary function by means of alteration of its values on a set of small measure.

**THEOREM A** (see [1, p. 448]). For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  such that for any function  $f$  continuous on  $[0, 2\pi]$  there can be found a function  $\tilde{f}$  such that its Fourier series is uniformly convergent and

- 1)  $m \{x \in (0, 2\pi): f(x) \neq \tilde{f}(x)\} \leq \varepsilon;$
- 2)  $\|\tilde{f}\|_V \equiv \sup_n \|\sigma_n(\tilde{f})\|_\infty \leq C_\varepsilon \|f\|_\infty$

(here  $\sigma_n(\tilde{f})$  are the partial sums of the Fourier series of the function  $\tilde{f}$ ,  $m\{E\}$  is the Lebesgue measure of a set  $E$ ).

By the present time, extensions and analogues of Theorem A for some other orthonormal systems have been established, and modifications of its original proof have been proposed (see the comprehensive survey [2]).

In [3, 4] "discrete" analogues of Theorem A were obtained. Later [5] it was found that these "discrete" results were making it possible to obtain new theorems on correction of the traditional (i.e., not "discrete") type. An application of results on discrete orthonormal systems to proving assertions of an opposite character, theorems on "incorrigibility," had been presented earlier in [6].

In [3], a discrete trigonometric system was considered. Olevskii [2, p. 172] raised the question of validity of an analogue of Men'shov's theorem for arbitrary discrete complete orthonormal systems. Theorem 1 established below answers this question in the affirmative. Before presenting its formulation, let us introduce some notation.

We consider orthonormal bases (o.n.b.)  $\Phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in an  $n$ -dimensional real Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . The coordinates of vectors  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in the standard basis  $\{e_j\}$  are denoted by  $(\varphi)_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . For a fixed basis  $\Phi$  and  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we put

$$\|g\|_V \equiv \|g\|_{V(\Phi)} \equiv \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^v (g, \varphi_i) \varphi_i \right\|_{l_\infty^n}. \quad (1)$$

Clearly,

$$\|g\|_{l_2^n} \geq \|g\|_V \geq \|g\|_{l_\infty^n} \geq n^{-1/2} \|g\|_{l_2^n}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\|g\|_{l_\infty^n} \equiv \|g\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |(g)_j|; \quad \|g\|_{l_2^n} \equiv \|g\|_2 = [(g, g)]^{1/2}.$$

By  $|\Lambda|$  we denote the number of elements of a finite set  $\Lambda$  of natural numbers; at that, if  $\Lambda \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , then  $\mu_n(\Lambda) \equiv n^{-1} |\Lambda|$ . Finally, for  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $\text{supp } g \equiv \{j: (g)_j \neq 0\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_\varepsilon$  such that for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , any orthonormal basis  $\Phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and any vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|g\|_2 = 1$ , there can be found a vector  $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

- 1)  $|\{j: (g)_j \neq (\tilde{g})_j\}| \leq \varepsilon n;$
- 2)  $\|\tilde{g}\|_{V(\Phi)} \leq C_\varepsilon n^{-1/2}.$

The central role in the proof of Theorem 1 is played by the following

V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Translated from *Matematicheskie Zametki*, Vol. 46, No. 6, pp. 67-74, December, 1989. Original article submitted June 22, 1989.

**LEMMA.** There exists an absolute constant  $n_0$  such that for any  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  there can be found a constant  $K_\rho$  possessing the following property:

for any o.n.b.  $\Phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|g\|_\infty \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } g = \Lambda$ ,  $|\Lambda| \geq \rho n \geq n_0$ , there can be found a vector  $v$ ,  $\text{supp } v \subset \Lambda$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1) & (v)_j = \lambda_j (g)_j, \quad \lambda_j \in \{0, +1, -1\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ 2) & |\text{supp } (g - v)| \leq 0,95 |\Lambda|; \\ 3) & \|v\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq K_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We shall first deduce the assertion of Theorem 1 from the lemma and then prove the latter. It is easily seen that in proving Theorem 1 there is no restriction of generality in assuming that  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , that the number  $n$  is sufficiently large,  $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ , and that  $(g)_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, it immediately follows from Chebyshev's inequality that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|g\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $(g)_j \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , there can be found a vector  $g'$  such that  $\|g'\|_\infty \leq (2/\varepsilon)^{1/2} n^{-1/2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| & \equiv |\{j: (g)_j \neq (g')_j\}| \leq (\varepsilon/2) n, \quad 0 < |(g')_j| \leq |(g)_j|, \\ 1 & \leq j \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the vector  $g$  can be "corrected" on the set  $\Omega$  with  $\mu_n(\Omega) \leq \varepsilon/2$  into the vector  $g'$  with  $\|g'\|_\infty \leq (2/\varepsilon)^{1/2} n^{-1/2}$ . Summarizing these observations, we find that it suffices for each  $g \in (0, 1)$  to find a constant  $C_\varepsilon^0$  such that for  $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$ , any o.n.b.  $\Phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any vector

$$g \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \|g\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad (g)_j \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad (4)$$

there will be found a vector  $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\text{a) } \|\tilde{g}\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq C_\varepsilon^0; \quad \text{b) } \mu_n \{\text{supp } (g - \tilde{g})\} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Now fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $n \geq n_0 \varepsilon^{-1}$ , an o.n.b.  $\Phi$ , and a vector  $g$  satisfying relations (4). Let an integer  $s_0$  be such that

$$(0,95)^{s_0} < \varepsilon \leq (0,95)^{s_0-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_\varepsilon^0 \equiv \left(\sum_{v=0}^{s_0} 2^v\right) K_\varepsilon, \quad (6)$$

where the constant  $K_\varepsilon$  is defined in the lemma. We shall show that with such a choice of  $C_\varepsilon^0$  a vector  $\tilde{g}$  with properties (5) can be found. Applying the lemma with  $\rho = \varepsilon$  to the vector  $g^0 \equiv g$ ,  $\text{supp } g^0 = \Lambda_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we find a vector  $v^0 \equiv v$  for which relations 1)-3) in (3) hold. If  $|\Lambda_1| \equiv |\text{supp}(g - v^0)| \leq \varepsilon n$ , then (4) is established for  $\tilde{g} = v^0$ , since obviously  $K_\varepsilon \leq C_\varepsilon^0$ . Otherwise, let  $g^1 = g^0 - v^0$ . Then (see (3))

$$\Lambda_1 = \{j: \lambda_j \neq 1\}, \quad (g^1)_j = \begin{cases} (g^0)_j, & \text{if } \lambda_j = 0, \\ 2(g^0)_j, & \text{if } \lambda_j = -1, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,  $\|g^1\|_\infty \leq 2$ . Applying the lemma with  $\rho = \varepsilon$  to the vector  $g^1$ , we find  $v^1$  with  $\|v^1\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq 2K_\varepsilon$ , for which relations 1) and 2) in (3) hold ( $v$  being replaced by  $v^1$  and  $g$  by  $g^1$ ). Then  $\|v^0 + v^1\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq 3K_\varepsilon$ ,  $|\Lambda_2| \equiv |\text{supp } (g - v^0 - v^1)| \leq (0,95)^2 |\Lambda_0|$ . If  $|\Lambda_2| \leq \varepsilon n$ , then (4) holds for  $\tilde{g} = v^0 + v^1$ , otherwise put  $g^2 = g^0 - v^0 - v^1$ ,  $\|g^2\|_\infty \leq 4 \dots$ . Continuing this process, we determine vectors  $v^2, g^3, v^3, \dots, g^s$ , where  $s \leq s_0$ ; at that, for  $v = 1, 2, \dots$

$$|\text{supp } g^v| \leq (0,95)^v n, \quad \|g^v\|_\infty \leq 2^v, \quad \|v^v\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq 2^v K_\varepsilon, \quad (7)$$

$$g^v = g^{v-1} - v^{v-1} = g^0 - \sum_{r=0}^{v-1} v^r.$$

The time to stop (the number  $s$ ) is chosen such that  $|\text{supp } g^s| \leq \varepsilon n$ . Then, putting  $\tilde{g} = \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} v^r$ , we have (see (7) and (6))  $\|\tilde{g}\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq C_\varepsilon^0$ ,  $|\text{supp}(g - \tilde{g})| \leq \varepsilon n$ , therefore (4) holds. Thereby we have completed the deduction of Theorem 1 from the lemma.

The proof presented below utilizes the methods introduced by the present author in [7] (see also [8, 9]) and the estimates of the volumes of polyhedrons established by Gluskin [10].

**Proof of the Lemma.** Let there be given an o.n.b.  $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , and let a vector  $g$  satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma. With no restriction of generality (permuting if necessary columns of the matrix  $\{(\phi_i)_j\}$ ), we can assume that

$$\text{supp } g = \Lambda = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, \quad d \geq \rho n. \quad (8)$$

Denoting by  $\mathbf{R}^d$  the subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  spanned by the vectors  $e_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , consider in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  the lattice

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^d k_j(g)_j e_j \right\}, \quad \{k_j\} \in \mathbf{Z}^d,$$

and put

$$B = B(\Phi, \Lambda) = \{g \in \mathbf{R}^d: \|g\|_{U(\Phi)} \leq 1\}.$$

We shall show that for  $d \geq n_0$  and a sufficiently large constant  $K = K_\rho$  the convex body  $KB$  contains points of the lattice  $L$  of the form

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^d k_j(g)_j e_j; \quad k_j \in \{0, +1, -1\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \\ \sum_{j=1}^d |k_j| \geq 0, \quad 1d = 0, 1|\Lambda|.$$

Then, as is easily seen, we can take either  $w$  or  $-w$  as the vector sought, i.e., the assertion of the lemma will be established.

Define a linear operator  $T: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  by the relation

$$T(\sum \alpha_j e_j) = \sum \alpha_j [(g)_j]^{-1} e_j.$$

Then  $T(L) = \mathbf{Z}^d$  and it will suffice to show that there exists a vector

$$z \in \mathbf{Z}^d, \quad \|z\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad |\text{supp } z| \geq 0, 1d, \quad (9)$$

such that

$$z \in T(K_\rho B(\Phi, \Lambda)) = K_\rho T(B). \quad (9')$$

Let

$$W = W(K_\rho) = 1,99Q^d \cap K_\rho T(B), \quad (10)$$

where  $Q^d = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d: \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\}$  is a cube in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . In [7] (see also [10]) the fact was utilized that for sufficiently large  $d$  ( $d \geq n_0$ ) the existence of a vector  $z$  with properties (9), (9') follows from this estimate of the  $d$ -dimensional volume of the set  $W(K_\rho)$ :

$$V_d(W(K_\rho)) \geq 2^d (1,9)^d. \quad (11)$$

Let us establish that (11) holds indeed, if the constant  $K_\rho$  is sufficiently large. Let  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\Phi, \Lambda}: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be an operator assigning to a vector  $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$  a sequence of Fourier coefficients. More precisely, if

$$\bar{z} \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (\bar{z})_j = \begin{cases} (z)_j, & 1 \leq j \leq d, \\ 0, & j > d \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \Phi_i,$$

then  $\mathcal{F}(z) = y = \{(y)_i\} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Taking into account the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{U(\Phi)}$ , we have

$$W(K_\rho) = 1,99Q^d \cap \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(\mathcal{F}T^{-1}(z), w_{j,s})| \leq K_\rho, \quad 1 \leq j, s \leq n\}, \quad (12)$$

where  $w_{j,s} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$(w_{j,s})_i = \begin{cases} (\Phi_i)_j, & 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ 0, & \text{if } i > s. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

But for any  $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$   $(\mathcal{F}T^{-1}z, w) = (z, Gw)$ , where  $G = (T^{-1})^* \mathcal{F}^*$ , and  $\mathcal{F}^*: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $(T^{-1})^*: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  are the adjoints. At that for any  $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$

$$\|\mathcal{F}^*w\|_{l_2^d} \leq \|w\|_{l_2^n},$$

$$\|(T^{-1})^*x\|_{l_2^d} \leq \max_j |(g)_j| \|x\|_{l_2^d} \leq \|x\|_{l_2^d},$$

and, consequently,

$$\|Gw\|_{l_2^d} \leq \|w\|_{l_2^n}. \quad (14)$$

Thus,

$$W(K_\rho) = 1,99Q^d \cap \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(z, Gw_{j,s})| \leq K_\rho, 1 \leq j, s \leq n\}. \quad (15)$$

Consider the following decomposition of the vectors  $\varphi(j) \equiv \{(\varphi_i)_j\}_{i=1}^n, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , into the binary blocks (this decomposition is often used in the theory of orthogonal series, for more details see [11, p. 325]):

$$\varphi(j) = \sum_{0 \leq v \leq 2^p - 1} r_v^p(j) \equiv \sum r_v^p, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, p_0(j),$$

where  $r_0^p = \varphi(j)$ , for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\|r_v^p\|_2 \leq 2^{-p/2} \|\varphi(j)\|_2 = 2^{-p/2}, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 2^p - 1, \quad (16)$$

and the number  $p_0 = p_0(j)$  is chosen so large that  $|\text{supp } r_v^p| \leq 2, 0 \leq v \leq 2^{p_0} - 1$ . Then (see [11, p. 326] and also (13)) for each pair  $(j, s), j, s = 1, \dots, n$ , there can be found a set  $\{v(p)\}_{p=1}^{p_0}$  such that

$$w_{j,s} = \sum_{p=1}^{p_0} r_{v(p)}^p(j) + \Delta, \quad (17)$$

$$|\text{supp } \Delta| \leq 2, \quad \|\Delta\|_\infty \leq \|w_{j,s}\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

It follows from (17) that for  $z \in \mathbf{R}^d$

$$|(z, Gw_{j,s})| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{p_0(j)} \max_{0 \leq v \leq 2^p - 1} |(z, f_v^p(j))| + 2 \max_{1 \leq r \leq n} |(\psi_r, z)|, \quad (17')$$

where  $f_v^p(j) = Gr_{v(p)}^p(j), \psi_r = Ge_r$ . At that (see (14) and (16)),

$$\|\psi_r\|_2 \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n; \quad \|f_v^p(j)\|_2 \leq 2^{-p/2}, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 2^p - 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (18)$$

Consequently (see (15), (17'), (18)),

$$W(K_\rho) \supset 1,99Q^d \cap \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(z, \psi_r)| \leq K_\rho/10, 1 \leq r \leq n\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{p=1}^{p_0(j)} \bigcap_{v=0}^{2^p-1} \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(z, f_v^p(j))| \leq K_\rho/(10 \cdot 2^{p/2})\}. \quad (19)$$

Putting  $\tilde{\psi}_r = (10/K_\rho) \psi_r, \tilde{f}_v^p(j) = (10 \cdot 2^{p/2}/K_\rho) f_v^p(j)$ , transform relation (19):

$$(1,99)^{-1} W(K_\rho) \supset \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(z, e_i)| \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq d\} \cap \bigcap_{r=1}^n \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(z, 1,99\tilde{\psi}_r)| \leq 1, 1 \leq r \leq n\} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{p=1}^{p_0(j)} \bigcap_{v=0}^{2^p-1} \{z \in \mathbf{R}^d: |(z, 1,99\tilde{f}_v^p(j))| \leq 1\}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_r\|_2 \leq 10/K_\rho, \quad \|\tilde{f}_v^p(j)\|_2 \leq \frac{10 \cdot 2^{p/2}}{K_\rho} \cdot 2^{-p/2}. \quad (21)$$

It suffices to show (see (11)) that the  $d$ -th root of the volume of the set in the left-hand member of inclusion relation (20), which set is below denoted by  $A = A(K_\rho)$ , satisfies the inequality

$$[V_d(A)]^{1/d} \geq \frac{1,9}{1,99} \cdot 2, \quad (22)$$

if the constant  $K_\rho$  is sufficiently large. Denote by  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^d$  the vectors which are not identically zero, are contained in the sets  $\{e_i\}, \{1,99\tilde{\psi}_r\}, \{1,99\tilde{f}_v^p\}$ , and numbered in such a way that  $x_\alpha = e_\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq d$ . Applying to the set  $\chi = \{x_\alpha\}$  Corollary 2 in [10] (in whose formulation we set  $n = d, q = d - 1$ ), we obtain this lower estimate of the volume  $V_d(A)$ :

$$[V_d(A)]^{1/d} \geq \sqrt{2} [V(B_2^d)]^{1/d} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} \right]^{1/(d-1)} \left[ (d-1) \int_0^\infty t^{-d} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0} (2\Phi_0(t/\|x_\alpha\|_2)) dt \right]^{1/(d-1)}, \quad (23)$$

where  $V(B_2^d) = \pi^{d/2} [\Gamma(1 + d/2)]^{-1}$  is the volume of the Euclidean unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , and  $\Phi_0(t) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^t e^{-x^2/2} dx$ . It follows from (23) that

$$[V_d(A)]^{1/d} \geq [1 + o_d(1)] (2\pi)^{1/2} \Gamma^{1/(d-1)}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$I = \int_0^\infty t^{-d} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0} (2\Phi_0(t/\|x_\alpha\|_2)) dt,$$

and  $o_d(1)$  is a quantity tending to zero as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } & 2\Phi_0(z) \geq 2(2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-z^2/2}, \\ \text{b) } & 2\Phi_0(z) \geq \exp(-2 \exp(-z^2/2)) \text{ for } z \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From (25a) and the equalities  $\|x_\alpha\|_2 = 1$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq d$ , we obtain

$$I \geq (2/\pi)^{d/2} \int_0^\infty \exp(-dt^2/2) \prod_{\alpha=d+1}^{\alpha_0} (2\Phi_0(t/\|x_\alpha\|_2)) dt. \quad (26)$$

Let it be known that the constant  $K_\rho$  is so large that for  $t \geq \gamma \equiv 10^{-5} t(\|x_\alpha\|_2)^{-1} \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha = d+1, \dots, \alpha_0$  (see (21)). Then, taking (25b) into account, we derive from (26)

$$\begin{aligned} I & \geq (2/\pi)^{d/2} \int_\gamma^{2\gamma} \exp(-dt^2/2) \times \\ & \times \exp\left[-2 \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\alpha_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} t^2/\|x_\alpha\|_2^2\right)\right] dt \geq (2/\pi)^{d/2} e^{-2d\gamma^2} \int_\gamma^{2\gamma} \exp(-2S(t)) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$S(t) \equiv \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^{\alpha_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} t^2/\|x_\alpha\|_2^2\right).$$

Estimating the last sum with the use of inequalities (21) (see also (20) and the definition of the system of vectors  $\{x_\alpha\}$ ), we find that for  $t \in (\gamma, 2\gamma)$

$$S(t) \leq n \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2} (K_\rho/20)^2\right\} + n \sum_{p=1}^\infty 2^p \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2} \frac{2^p K_\rho^2}{400p^4}\right\} \leq n \exp(-10^{-13} K_\rho^2) + n C_1 \exp(-10^{-15} K_\rho^2) \quad (28)$$

(it is assumed here that  $K_\rho$  is sufficiently large:  $K_\rho \geq C_2$ ;  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  are absolute positive constants).

Since  $d \geq pn$  (see (8)), it follows from (28) that  $S(t) \leq 10^{-5}d$ , if  $K_\rho \geq C_3 \ln^{1/2}(2/\rho)$ . As a result, for  $K_\rho \geq C_4 \ln^{1/2}(2/\rho)$  we have

$$I \geq (2/\pi)^{d/2} e^{-2d\gamma^2} \exp(-2d \cdot 10^{-5}). \quad (29)$$

Finally, it follows from (24) and (29) that

$$[V_d(A)]^{1/d} \geq 2(1 + o_d(1)) \exp(-3 \cdot 10^{-5}) \geq 2 \exp(-4 \cdot 10^{-5}) \geq 2 \cdot (1,9/1,99),$$

if  $d$  is sufficiently large:  $d \geq n_0$ . Estimate (22) and, consequently, the assertion of the lemma are established.

**Remark.** The estimating technique for ball volumes in the spaces  $U(\Phi)$  employed in the proof of the lemma is applicable not only to the discrete systems  $\Phi$  but also to the quasimatrix systems introduced in [12]. We call a system of functions  $\{\varphi_i(\theta)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  defined on some set  $G$  a quasimatrix one if for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there can be found a set  $\Omega_n \subset G$  with the number of its elements  $\leq C_5 n$  such that for any polynomial  $P(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i(\theta)$  the inequality

$$\sup_{\theta \in G} |P(\theta)| \leq C_6 \max_{\theta \in \Omega_n} |P(\theta)|$$

holds.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. N. K. Bari, Trigonometric Series [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1961).
2. A. M. Olevskii, "Modifications of functions, and Fourier series," Usp. Mat. Nauk, 40, No. 3, 157-193 (1985).
3. B. S. Kashin, "On some properties of the space of trigonometric polynomials related to uniform convergence," Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR, 93, No. 2, 281-284 (1979).
4. G. G. Kosheleva, "Correction of piecewise constant functions and summation of their Fourier series by Cesàro methods of negative order," Mat. Zametki, 41, No. 2, 175-184 (1987).

5. B. S. Kashin and G. G. Kosheleva, "On a certain approach to the theorem 'on correction,'" Vestn. Mosk. Gos. Univ., Ser. 1, Mat. Mekh., No. 4, 6-9 (1988).
6. A. M. Olevskii, "The existence of functions with unremovable Carleman singularities," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 238, No. 4, 796-799 (1978).
7. B. S. Kashin, "On a certain isometric operator in  $L^2(0, 1)$ ," C. R. l'Académie Bulgare Sci., 38, No. 12, 1613-1615 (1985).
8. B. S. Kashin, "On unconditional convergence in the space  $L^1$ ," Mat. Sb., 94, No. 5, 540-550 (1974).
9. B. S. Kashin, "On trigonometric polynomials with the coefficients +1, -1, 0," Tr. Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 180, 131-132 (1987).
10. E. D. Gluskin, "The extremal properties of orthogonal parallelepipeds and their applications to the geometry of Banach spaces," Mat. Sb., 136, No. 1, 85-96 (1988).
11. B. S. Kashin and A. A. Saakyan, Orthogonal Series [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1984).
12. B. S. Kashin, "On trigonometric polynomials with coefficients equal zero or unity in modulus," in: Theory of Functions and Approximations [in Russian], Part I, Saratov Univ. (1987), pp. 19-30.

## RELATIONS IN THE PIECEWISE-LINEAR COBORDISM RING

Yu. B. Rudyak

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $CPP^{-1}$  be the complex projective space of complex dimension  $p - 1$ . In addition, let  $MSPL^{CPP^{-1}}$  be the theory of oriented piecewise-linear cobordism and singularity  $CPP^{-1}$  (and also the cohomology spectrum of this theory). In [1] the author proved that the spectrum  $MSPL_{(p)}^{CPP^{-1}}$  is a graded Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum (the subscript  $(p)$  here denotes localization). Here we derive the following theorem from this result.

**THEOREM A.** In the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL)$  one has the relation  $[CPP^{-1}]x = 0$ , where  $x$  is the lowest dimensional  $p$ -torsion element of the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL)$ ,  $\dim x = 2p^2 - 2p - 1$ .

As a matter of fact this theorem is a consequence of some integrality relations in the SPL-cobordism ring found by Brumfiel, Madsen, and Milgram (cf., e.g., [2]) and Theorem B formulated below. Let  $f(x, y)$  be a universal formal group over the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MU)$  (cf., e.g., [3-5]), and let  $[p]_f(x) = px + \sum_{k>0} \alpha_k x^{k+1}$  be the formal series corresponding to raising to the  $p$ -th power in this formal group. Let  $\varphi: MU \rightarrow MSPL^{CPP^{-1}}$  be the obvious forgetful morphism.

**THEOREM B.** The element  $\varphi_{\ast}(\alpha_k) \in \pi_{2k}(MSPL^{CPP^{-1}})$  is divisible by  $p$ .

It turns out (cf. Theorem 3.3) that in the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL)/([CPP^{-1}])$  the images of the elements  $\alpha_{p^i-1}$ ,  $i > 1$ , are not divisible by  $p$ . Hence the groups  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL^{CPP^{-1}})$  and  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL)/([CPP^{-1}])$  do not coincide and it obviously follows from this that  $[CPP^{-1}]$  is a divisor of zero in the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL)$ . From this and the assertion that  $p$ -torsion first appears in the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL)$  in dimension  $2p^2 - 2p - 1$  (cf. Proposition 1.4), Theorem A follows.

The next theorem follows from Theorem B and the elementary theory of formal groups.

**THEOREM C.** In the ring  $\pi_{\ast}(MSPL_{(p)}^{CPP^{-1}})$  the element  $[CP^n]$  is divisible by  $n + 1$ .

(The fact that  $MSPL_{(p)}^{CPP^{-1}}$  is a commutative ring spectrum is proved separately in Theorem 1.7.)

---

V. V. Kuibyshev Moscow Civil-Engineering Institute. Translated from Matematicheskie Zametki, Vol. 46, No. 6, pp. 75-84, December, 1989. Original article submitted December 13, 1988.